

Resolution

of the Federal Joint Committee on an Amendment of the Pharmaceuticals Directive:

of 19 May 2022

At its session of

At its session on 19 May 2022, the Federal Joint Committee G-BA resolved to amend the Pharmaceuticals Directive (AM-RL) in the version dated 18 December 2008 / 22 January 2009 (Federal Gazette, BAnz. No. 49a of 31 March 2009), as last amended by the publication of the resolution of D Month YYYY (Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD MM.YYYY BX), as follows:

- I. Annex XII is amended as follows:
 - 1. The information on Abemaciclib in the Version of the resolution of 3 September 2020 (BAnz AT 03.11.2020 B2) remains part of the Pharmaceuticals Directive with the lifting of the limitation for patient groups a1 and b1 in accordance with the following changes:
- 1. The information for Abemaciclib regarding the date and entry into force of the resolutions shall is adopted as follows:

"Resolution of: 2 May 2019 Entry into force on: 2 May 2019 BAnz AT 28.06.2019 B5

Resolution of: 5 December 2019 Entry into force on: 5 December 2019

BAnz AT 24.12.2021 B5

Resolution of: 3 September 2020 Entry into force on: 3 September 2020

BAnz AT 03.01.2020 B2

Resolution of: 1 April 2021 Entry into force on: 1 April 2021

BAnz AT 06.05.2021 B5

Resolution of 19 May 2022 Entry into force on: 19 May 2022 Federal Gazette, BAnz AT DD. MM YYYY Bx"

Please note the differt version of the Pharmace little the different version of the Pharmace little the differe

Therapeutic indication (according to the marketing authorisation of 27 September 2018):

Verzenios is indicated for the treatment of women with hormone receptor (HR)-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer in combination with an aromatase inhibitor or fulvestrant as initial endocrine-based therapy, or in women who have received prior endocrine therapy.

In pre- or perimenopausal women, the endocrine therapy should be combined with a LHRH agonist.

Therapeutic indication of the resolution (resolution of 19 May 2022):

Verzenios is indicated for the treatment of postmenopausal women with hormone receptor (HR)-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (HER2)-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer in combination with fulvestrant as initial endocrine therapy or in postmenopausal women who have received prior endocrine therapy.

- 2. The findings under "1. Additional benefit of the medicinal product in relation to the appropriate comparator therapy" for the patient populations "a1)" and "b1)" is adopted as follows:
- "a1) postmenopausal women with hormone receptor (HR)-positive, HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer who have not yet received initial endocrine therapy

Appropriate comparator therapy:

anastrozole

or

letrozole

or

- fulvestrant
- tamoxifen, if necessary, if aromatase inhibitors are not suitable

ribociclib in combination with a non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole, letrozole)

or

abemaciclib in combination with a non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole, letrozole)

or

• palbociclib in combination with a non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole, letrozole)

or

ribociclib in combination with fulvestrant

or

palbociclib in combination with fulvestrant

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of Abemaciclib compared to fulvestrant:

An additional benefit is not proven.

b1) postmenopausal women with hormone receptor (HR)-positive, HER2 advanced or metastatic breast cancer who have received prior endocrine

Appropriate comparator therapy:

Another endocrine therapy with:

tamoxifen

or

anastrozole

 fulvestrant as monotherapy; only for patients with relapse or progression after antiestrogen treatment

or

- th relapse or progression after antiestrogen treatment letrozole; only for patients
- patients with progression after anti-oestrogen treatment
- in combination with exemestane; only for patients without symptomatic visceral metastasis following progression after a non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor.
- ribociclib in combination with a non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole, letrozole)

abemaciclib in combination with a non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole, letrozole)

or

palbociclib in combination with a non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole, letrozole)

ribociclib in combination with fulvestrant

or

4

• palbociclib in combination with fulvestrant

Extent and probability of the additional benefit of Abemaciclib compared to

Please note the differ to several perent as sessing a central to the different version of the pharmace thickets the pharmace the pharmace thickets the pharmace thickets the pharmace that the pharmace the pharmace that the pharmace the pharmace that th

Study results according to endpoints:1

a1) Postmenopausal women with hormone receptor (HR)-positive, HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer who have not yet received initial endocrine therapy

Summary of results for relevant clinical endpoints

Endpoint category	Direction of effect/ risk of bias	Summary
Mortality	\leftrightarrow	No relevant difference for the benefit
		assessment
Morbidity	n. a.	There are no assessable data in morbidity
		(except for pain).
		CO NO
Health-related quality	n.a.	There are no assessable data.
of life		× 9`.,60
Side effects	$\downarrow\downarrow$	Disadvantages in the case of serious AEs, in the
		case of severe AEs, in the case of therapy
		discontinuations due to AEs and in detail
		disadvantages in the case of specific AEs

Explanations:

Explanations:

↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliability of data

↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low unclear reliability of data

 $\uparrow \uparrow$: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability of data

 \downarrow \downarrow : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability of data

neg.
Ant difference benefit asse

Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment.

¹ Data from the dossier assessment of the Institute for Quality and Efficiency in Health Care (IQWiG) (A21-153) unless otherwise indicated.

MONARCH 2 study: Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs placebo + fulvestrant

MONARCH plus study: Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs placebo + fulvestrant

Total: pooled data of patients from MONARCH 2 and MONARCH plus study

Study design: randomised, double-blind, two-armed

Relevant sub-population: postmenopausal patients with initial endocrine therapy

Mortality

	Aber	maciclib + fulvestrant	Pla	acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD)
Overall survival			(SSCON	
MONARCH 2 ^c (sub-population a1)	246	44.0 [37.8; 51.7] 123 (50.0)	128	37.3 [33.0; 48.9] 68 (53.1)	0.82 [0.61; 1.10] 0.186
MONARCH plus ^c (sub-population a1)	81	n.a. 20 (24.7)	40	n.a. [19.9; n.c.] 14 (35.0)	0.56 [0.28; 1.11] 0.091
Total ^d (sub-population a1)		is io seion			0.77 [0.59; 1.01] 0.061
Resolution	CUIT	944.0 [37.8; 51.7] 123 (50.0) n.a. 20 (24.7)			

Morbidity

Endpoint	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant		Pla	acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant	
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute	
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD) ^a	
Progression-free s	urviva	l (PFS) ^k		400	, clin's	
MONARCH 2 ^c	246	16.44 [14.17; 19.73] 163 (66.3)	128	11.08 [7.43; 15.91] 109 (85.2)	0.596 [0.467; 0.761] < 0.0001	
MONARCH plus ^c	81	11.4 [9.53; 16.96] 57 (70.4)	40	53. [3.65, 11.21] (31 (77.5)	0.63 [0.41; 0.98] 0.0382	
Total ^d		[9.53; 16.96] 57 (70.4) equent chemotherapy t	0.604 [0.488; 0.748] < 0.0001			
Time until the first	t subse	equent chemotherapy t	reatm	ent ^k		
MONARCH 2 ^c	246	25.81 [19.63; 32.19] 148 (60.2)	128	22.13 [16.60; 26.37] 92 (71.9)	0.730 [0.562; 0.947] 0.0175	
MONARCH plus ^c	(0)		Endpoi	nt not assessed		
Pain (composite e	ndpoii	st), time to 1st deterior	ation (BPI-SF) ^e		
MONARCHZ	245	11.1 [6.0; 14.8] 124 (50.6)	128	9.3 [5.8; 18.4] 64 (50.0)	0.95 [0.70; 1.28] 0.722	
MONARCH plus ^c	Endpoint not assessed					
Strongest pain in t	the las	t 24 hours (deterioratio	n by ≥	2 points on the sympto	om scale of the	
MONARCH 2 ^c	245	16.6 [8.1; 34.9] 104 (42.4)	128	16.7 [8.7; 24.7] 54 (42.2)	0.94 [0.67; 1.31] 0.695	
MONARCH plus ^c	81	n.a. [13.6; n.c.] 26 (32.1)	40	n.a. [10.3; n.c.] 10 (25.0)	1.22 [0.59; 2.53] 0.600	

Endpoint	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant		Pla	acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant		
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute difference (AD) ^a		
Total ^d			0.98 [0.7 3 ; 1 .33] 0 .899				
Increase in use of	analge	esics by ≥ 1 level (BPI-SF	·)	, pro	· SCI		
MONARCH 2 ^c	245	n.a. 46 (18.8)	128	n.a. (17.2) (17.2)	0.94 [0.56; 1.56] 0.804		
MONARCH plus ^c		Endpoint not assessed					
			TIP.	200			
Symptomatology (EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ-BR23)							
	No usable data available						
Health status (EQ	5D-VA	s) Jerstin					
		No usable o	data av	ailable			

Health-related quality of life							
Endpoint	Abe	maciclib + fulvestrant	Pla	acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant		
\Diamond	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute difference (AD) ^a		
		No usable o	lata av	railable			

Side effects

Endpoint	Abe	maciclib + fulvestrant	t Placebo + fulvestrant		Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute
		(%)		n (%)	difference (AD) ^a
Total adverse ever	ective -				
MONARCH 2°	245	0.1 [0.1; 0.1] 242 (98.8)	128	0.6 [0.5; 1 .0] 117 (91.4)	_
MONARCH plus ^c	81	0.1 [0.1; 0.2] 81 (100)	40	1:0C [0.4;2:1] 3 4 (85.0)	-
Serious adverse ev	ents (S	SAE)	all	Ma	
MONARCH 2°	245	n.a. [36.8; n.c.] 72 (29.4)	128	52.0 [42.5; n.c.] 18 (14.1)	1.96 [1.17; 3.30] 0.009
MONARCH plus ^c	81	n.a. [26-7; n.c.] 18 (22-2)	40	n.a. 3 (7.5)	2.60 [0.76; 8.84] 0.113
Total ^d	1818	18 (22.2)			2.05 [1.27; 3.30] 0.003
Severe adverse ev	ents (C	TCAE grade ≥ 3)			
MONARCH X	245	3.7 [2.7; 5.6] 166 (67.8)	128	42.5 [20.8; n.c.] 38 (29.7)	3.39 [2.37; 4.85] < 0.001
MONARCH plus ^c	81	8.4 [3.7; 13.1] 52 (64.2)	40	n.a. [10.7; n.c.] 8 (20.0)	3.99 [1.90; 8.41] < 0.001
Tot al ^d					3.50 [2.53; 4.83] < 0.001
Therapy discontinu	uation	due to adverse events ^f			
MONARCH 2°	245	n.a. 52 (21.2)	128	n.a. 7 (5.5)	3.50 [1.59; 7.72] < 0.001

Endpoint	Abe	maciclib + fulvestrant	Pla	acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute difference (AD) ^a
		(%)		n (%)	Giver and a second
MONARCH plus ^c	81	n.a. [26.8; n.c.] 10 (12.3)	40	n.a. 1 (2.5)	3.60 [0.46; 28:20] 0.192
Total ^d				2 (1.6)	3.51 [1.68; 7.35] < 0.001
Specific adverse ev	ents/			ine is	
Neutropenia ^g (seve	ere AE	5)		CS XICO	
MONARCH 2 ^c	245	n.a. 63 (25.7)	128	2 (1.6)	18.27 [4.47; 74.70] < 0.001
MONARCH plus ^c	81	[14.7: n.c.] 💙	40	n.a. 2 (5.0)	7.14 [1.70; 29.99] 0.002
Total ^d		28 (34.6)			11.52 [4.22; 31.49] < 0.001
Diarrhoea (PT, sev	ere AE	18 018			
MONARCH 2°	245	n.a. 35 (14.3)	128	n.a. 1 (0.8)	18.30 [2.51; 133.70] < 0.001
MONARCH plus	81	n.a. 1 (1.2 ^h)	40	n.a. 0 (0)	n. c. ⁱ 0.482
Total					n.a.
Anaemia (PT, seve	re AEs				
Monarch 2°	245	n.a. 19 (7.8)	128	n.a. 2 (1.6)	4.15 [0.96; 17.89] 0.038
MONARCH plus ^c	81	n.a. [26.7; n.c.] 14 (17.3)	40	n.a. 1 (2.5)	5.73 [0.75; 43.71] 0.057
Total ^d					4.63 [1.41; 15.17] 0.011

Endpoint	Abe	maciclib + fulvestrant	Pla	acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD) ^a
Eye disorders (SOC	C, AEs)				162. Ulp
MONARCH 2°	245	n.a. 48 (19.6)	128	n.a. 9 (7.0)	2.65 [1.30; 5.40] 0.005
MONARCH plus ^c	81	n.d. 7 (8.6 ^h)	40	n.d. 1 (2.5%) 1 (2.5%	2.97 [0.37; 24.17] 0.309 ^j
Total ^d			. 0	255 Centilicia	2.68 [1.36; 5.26] 0.004
Gastrointestinal di	isorder	s (SOC, AEs)	CITY OF	Ma	
MONARCH 2 ^c	245	0.2 [0.1; 0.2] 232 (94.7)	128	3.7 [2.3; 8.0] 81 (63.3)	3.87 [2.97; 5.04] < 0.001
MONARCH plus ^c	81	0.2 [02); 0.3] O 70 (86.4)	40	n.a. [4.8; n.c.] 14 (35.0)	5.29 [2.95; 9.50] < 0.001
Total ^d	, e, e	6 10 (86.4)			4.08 [3.21; 5.19] < 0.001
Skin and subcutan	eous ti	ssue disorders (SOC, AE	s)		
MONARCH 23	245	8.5 [6.3; 19.0] 117 (47.8)	128	n.a. [33.3; n.c.] 29 (22.7)	2.38 [1.58; 3.57] < 0.001
MONARCH plus ^c	81	n.a. 18 (22.2)	40	n.a. 3 (7.5)	2.59 [0.76; 8.82] 0.114
Total ^d					2.40 [1.63; 3.53] < 0.001
Renal and urinary	disord	ers (SOC, SAEs)			
MONARCH 2°	245	n.a. 36 (14.7)	128	n.a. 5 (3.9)	3.35 [1.31; 8.58] 0.007

Endpoint	Abe	maciclib + fulvestrant	Pla	acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute difference (AD) ^a
MONARCH plus ^c	81	n.d. 7 (8.6)	40	n.d. 1 (2.5)	2.61 [0.32; 24.24] 0.371 ^j
Total ^d				at Proc	3.22 [1.37; 7.58] 0.008

- a. Indication of absolute difference (AD) only in case of statistically significant difference; own calculation
- HR [95% CI]: Cox proportional hazards model with treatment group as factor; p-value: unstratified log-rank test
- c. Data cut-off: MONARCH 2 study: 20.06.2019; MONARCH plus study: 18 May 2020
- d. calculated from meta-analysis
- e. Time until the 1st deterioration defined as an increase of 2 points on the symptom scale of the mBPI-SF "Strongest pain in the last 24 hours" (scale range: 0 to 11) from start of the study or increase in use of analgesics by ≥ 1 step (according to the WHO 3-step cancer pain management system) first occurrence in each case. In the analysis, death is not evaluated and censored as an event.
- event.

 f. Discontinuation of at least one of the two medicinal products
- g. PT collection of the pharmaceutical company: operationalised via the PTs neutropenia, febrile neutropenia and decreased neutrophil count
- h. own calculation
- i. As no events occurred in one study arm, the HR cannot be estimated.
- j. p-value presumably Wald test
- k. from the dossier of the pharmaceutical company

Abbreviations used:

AD = absolute difference, CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EORTC QLQ-BR23 = European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Breast Cancer 23; EORTC QLQ-C30 = European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Core 30; HR = Hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; N = number of patients evaluated; n = number of patients with event; n c = not calculable; n.a. = not achieved; PT = preferred term; RCT = randomised controlled trial; SOC = system organ class; SAE = serious adverse event; AE = adverse event; VAS = visual analogue scale; WHO = World Health Organization; vs = versus

b1) postmenopausal women with hormone receptor (HR)-positive, HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer who have received prior endocrine therapy

Mortality ↑↑ Advantage in overal There are no assess (except for pain). Health-related quality of life Side effects ↓↓ Disadvantages in the case of therapy disk in detail disadvantate statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliative statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliative statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability ↓ : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability the no statistically significant or relevant difference ②: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment in a.: not assessable
Morbidity n.a. There are no assess (except for pain). Health-related quality of life Side effects Disadvantages in the case of therapy distributed in detail disadvantate. The statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliate the statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability the statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability the statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability the statistically significant or relevant difference ⊘: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment. n.a.: not assessable
Health-related quality of life Side effects Disadvantages in the case of therapy distributed in detail disadvantages in the case of therapy distributed in detail disadvantages. The statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliation to the statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability. The statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability. There are no usable data for the benefit assessment. Disadvantages in the case of therapy distributed in detail disadvantages. There are no assess.
Side effects ↓ ↓ Disadvantages in the case of therapy distribution in detail disadvantages. Explanations: ↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear reliated the statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear reliated the statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability. ↓ : statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability. ⇒: no statistically significant or relevant difference. Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment. n.a.: not assessable
Explanations: ↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with low/unclear relia ↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with low/unclear relia ↑↑: statistically significant and relevant positive effect with high reliability ↓ ↓: statistically significant and relevant negative effect with high reliability ↔: no statistically significant or relevant difference Ø: There are no usable data for the benefit assessment. n.a.: not assessable
refers to severe fithe

MONARCH 2 study: Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs placebo + fulvestrant

MONARCH plus study: Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs placebo + fulvestrant

Total: pooled data of patients from MONARCH 2 and MONARCH plus study

Study design: randomised, double-blind, two-armed

Mortality

elevant sub-popul	ation:	postmenopausal pati	ents w	vith previous endocrir	ne therapy	
ortality					wes anet I	
Endpoint	Abe	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant		acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant	
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute	
		(%)		n (%)	difference (AD) ^a	
Overall survival			(S),	ALU.		
MONARCH 2 ^c	144	48.8 [35.2; n.c.] 66 (45.8)		34.8 [28.8; 41.3] 44 (66.7)	0.67 [0.46; 0.98] 0.037	
MONARCH plus ^c	23	(20.5; n.c.) 6 (26.1)	13	n.a. [5.7; n.c.] 5 (38.5)	0.45 [0.14; 1.49] 0.179	
Total ^d	IARCH plus ^c 23					
Subgroups accordi	ng to t	pe of disease				
MONARCH 2	3)					
non-visceral metastases	66	n.d. 33 (50.0 ^h)	27	n.d. 15 (55.6 ^h)	1.09 [0.59; 2.01] 0.777	
visceral metastases	78	n.d. 33 (42.3 ^h)	39	n.d. 29 (74.4 ^h)	0.46 [0.28; 0.76] 0.003	
MONARCH plus ^c						
non-visceral metastases	6	n.d. 1 (16.7 ^h)	3	n.d. 0 (0)	n. c. ⁱ 0.999	
visceral metastases	17	n.d. 5 (29.4 ^h)	10	n.d. 5 (50.0 ^h)	0.34 [0.10; 1.21] 0.097	

Endpoint	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant		Pla	acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute difference (AD) ^a
Total ^d					Interaction 0.022 ^j
non-visceral metastases					edu n.a.
visceral metastases				ent pro	0.44 [0.28; 0.71] 0.001

Morbidity

	visceral metastases				ent pro	0.44 [0.28; 0.71] 0.001
V	orbidity		Ses	efit	assessinicals by altinacebo + fulvestrant	
	Endpoint	Abe	maciclib + fulvestrant	Pla	acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant
		N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute
		<u></u>	Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	difference (AD) ^a
-	Progression-free s	urviva	I (PFS) ^k			
	MONARCH 2°	144	16.14 [12.00; 19.69] 103 (71.5)	66	6.84 [4.14; 9.47] 59 (89.4)	0.476 [0.344; 0.659] < 0.0001
	MONARCH plus ^c	23	15.8 [7.43; n.a.] 40 (60.9)	13	5.6 [1.68; 7.69] 10 (76.9)	0.34 [0.14; 0.79] 0.0087
	Total ^d					0.455 [0.336; 0.617] < 0.0001

Endpoint	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant		Placebo + fulvestrant		Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant	
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute	
		(%)		n (%)	difference (AD) ^a	
Time until the first	subse	equent chemotherapy t	reatm	ent ^k	"ILO DUI.	
MONARCH 2 ^c	144	21.07 [17.72; 25.71] 89 (61.8)	66	10.52 [7.63; 19.17] 58 (87.9)	0.497 [0.356; 0.694] < 0.0001	
MONARCH plus ^c		1	Endpoi	nt not assessed		
Pain (composite e	ndpoir	nt), time to 1st deterior	ation (BPI-SF)		
MONARCH 2 ^c	143	13.9 [9.3; 22.2] 70 (49.0)	66	[2 6 ; 20.3] 32 (48.5)	0.74 [0.49; 1.14] 0.171	
MONARCH plus ^c		c.S	Endpoi	nt not assessed		
Strongest pain in t mBPI-SF)	he las	t 24 hours (detectoration	n by ≥	2 points on the sympto	om scale of the	
MONARCH 2 ^c	143	[12.5] [12.1; 38.7] 61.(42.7)	66	16.8 [3.8; 35.0] 29 (43.9)	0.70 [0.45; 1.10] 0.121	
MONARCH plus ^c	23	n.a. [3.2; n.c.] 8 (34.8)	13	n.a. [1.0; n.c.] 3 (23.1)	1.45 [0.38; 5.50] 0.573	
[0.49;					0.76 [0.49; 1.16] 0.196	
Increase in use of analgesics by ≥ 1 level (BPI-SF)						
MONARCH 2°	143	n.a. 23 (16.1)	66	n.a. 7 (10.6)	1.10 [0.47; 2.60] 0.827	
MONARCH plus ^c	ONARCH plus ^c Endpoint not assessed					
Symptomatology (Symptomatology (EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ-BR23)					
	No usable data available					

Endpoint Aber		maciclib + fulvestrant	Pla	acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant	
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] p value ^b	
		Patients with event n (%)		Patients with event n (%)	Absolute difference (AD) ^a	
Health status (EQ	5D-VA	.S)			Wes Ville	
		No usable o	lata av	ailable	60,6/1	
ealth-related quality of life						
Endpoint Abemaciclib + fulvestrant		Pla	acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib +		

Health-related quality of life

Endpoint	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant		Placebo + fulvestrant		Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo +
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	fulvestrant HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute difference (AD) ^a
No usable data available					

Side effects

Endpoint	Abei	maciclib + fulvestrant	Pla	acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute
		(%)		n (%)	difference (AD) ^a
Total adverse ever	nts (pre	esented additionally)		, o ^C	Cille
MONARCH 2°	143	0.1 [<0.1; 0.1] 140 (97.9)	66	0.5 [0.3; 1 .0] 59 (89 .4)	_
MONARCH plus ^c	23	0.2 [0.1; 0.4] 23 (100)	13	0.9C [0.55, n.c.] 2(69.2)	-
Serious adverse ev	ents (S	SAE)	all	Ma	
MONARCH 2 ^c	143	47.1 [34.0; n.c.] 40 (28.0)	266	29.9 [15.1; n.c.] 14 (21.1)	0.96 [0.52; 1.78] 0.896
MONARCH plus ^c	23	n.a. [22:9; n.c.] 6 (26:1)	13	n.a. 1 (7.7)	2.21 [0.26; 18.84] 0.459
Total ^d	18/8	6 (26-1)			1.02 [0.56; 1.86] 0.941
Severe adverse ev	ents (C	TCAE grade ≥ 3)			
MONARCH X	143	4.6 [1.9; 9.0] 99 (69.2)	66	28.0 [9.9; n.c.] 21 (31.8)	2.61 [1.63; 4.19] < 0.001
MONARCH plus ^c	23	5.6 [1.8; 13.3] 16 (69.6)	13	n.a. [2.7; n.c.] 1 (7.7)	9.57 [1.27; 72.27] < 0.007
T otal ^d					2.79 [1.76; 4.43] < 0.001
Therapy discontinu	uation	due to adverse events ^f			
MONARCH 2°	143	n.a. [38.1; n.c.] 34 (23.8)	66	n.a. 2 (3.0)	6.49 [1.55; 27.12] 0.003

Endpoint	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant		Pla	acebo + fulvestrant	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute
		(%)		n (%)	difference (AD) ^a
MONARCH plus ^c	23	n.a. [18.5; n.c.] 2 (8.7)	13	n.a. 1 (7.7)	0.56 [0.05; 6.73] 0.643
Total ^d				ent Pro	3.53 [1.02; 12.19] 0.046
Specific adverse ev	ents			and als	
Neutropenia ^g (seve	ere AEs	5)		es ilico	
MONARCH 2°	143	n.a. [26.6; n.c.] 43 (30.1)	66	Sestiticals Anna 1 (1.5)	20.30 [2.79; 147.50] < 0.001
MONARCH plus ^c	23	n.a. [3.6; n.c.] 7 (30.4)	137	n.a. 0 (0)	n.a. 0.055
Total ^d		and of the			n.a.
Diarrhoea (PT, sev	ere AE	s) ,0° ,0°			
MONARCH 2 ^c	143	6.a. 25 (17.5)	66	n.a. 0 (0)	n. c. ⁱ < 0.001
MONARCH plus ^c	23	n.a. 1 (4.3)	13	n.a. 0 (0)	n. c. ⁱ 0.452
Total ^d	Ch				n.a.
Gastrointestinal di	sorder	s (SOC, AEs)			
MONARCH 2	143	0.1 [0.1; 0.2] 134 (93.7)	66	3.6 [1.6; 5.6] 43 (65.2)	4.00 [2.78; 5.76] < 0.001
MONARCH plus ^c	23	0.3 [0.1; 0.7] 18 (78.3)	13	12.7 [1.9; n.c.] 4 (30.8)	4.68 [1.57; 13.99] 0.003
Total ^d					4.07 [2.88; 5.74] < 0.001

Endpoint	Abemaciclib + fulvestrant		Placebo + fulvestrant		Abemaciclib + fulvestrant vs Placebo + fulvestrant		
	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	N	Median survival time in months [95% CI] Patients with event n (%)	HR [95% CI] p value ^b Absolute difference (AD) ^a		
Skin and subcutan	Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders (SOC, AEs)						
MONARCH 2 ^c	143	9.7 [6.1; 18.3] 72 (50.3)	66	n.a. [11.7; n.c.] 15 (22.7)	2\38 .[1.36; 4.17] 0.002		
MONARCH plus ^c	23	n.a. [10.8; n.c.] 5 (21.7)	13	n.a. 1 (77)	2.49 [0.29; 21.65] 0.394		
Total ^d				assessiticals	2.39 [1.39; 4.11] 0.002		

- Indication of absolute difference (AD) only in case of statistically significant difference; own calculation
- b. HR [95% CI]: Cox proportional hazards model with treatment group as factor; p-value: unstratified log-rank test
- Data cut-off: MONARCH 2 study: 20.06.2019 MONARCH plus study: 18 May 2020
- calculated from meta-analysis

 Time until the 1st deterioration defined as an increase of 2 points on the symptom scale of the mBPI-SF "Strongest pain in the last 24 hours" (scale range: 0 to 11) from start of the study or increase in use of analges is by ≥ 1 step (according to the WHO 3-step cancer pain management system) first occurrence in each case. In the analysis, death is not evaluated and censored as an
- Discontinuation of at least one of the two medicinal products f.
- PT collection of the pharmaceutical company: operationalised via the PTs neutropenia, febrile neutropenia and decreased neutrophil count
- own calculation
- As no events occurred in one study arm, the HR cannot be estimated.
- from the cossier of the pharmaceutical company

Abbreviations used:

AD = absolute difference; CTCAE = Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EORTC QLQ-BR23 = European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire - Breast Cancer 23; EQRTC QLQ-C30 = European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire ore 30; HR = hazard ratio; n.d. = no data available; CI = confidence interval; mBPI-SF = modified Brief Pain inventory-Short Form; N = number of patients evaluated; <math>n = number of patients with event; n.c. = notcalculable; n.a. = not achieved; PT = preferred term; RCT = randomised controlled trial; SOC = system organ class; SAE = serious adverse event; AE = adverse event; VAS = visual analogue scale; WHO = World Health Organization; vs = versus

- The findings under "2 Number of nations or demorsation of nati
- 3. The findings under "2. Number of patients or demarcation of patient groups eligible for treatment" regarding the patient populations "a1)" and "b1)" are adopted as follows:
 - a1) postmenopausal women with hormone receptor (HR)-positive, HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer who have not yet received initial endocrine therapy

approx. 7,400 to 34,790 patients

,,

- b1) postmenopausal women with hormone receptor (HR)-positive, HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer who have received prior endocrine therapy approx. 5,470 to 24,900 patients
- 4. The findings under "3. Requirements for quality-assured application" are adopted as follows:

The requirements in the product information are to be taken into account. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) provides the contents of the product information (summary of product characteristics, SmPC) for Verzenios (active ingredient: abemaciclib) at the following publicly accessible link (last access: 18 February 2022):

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/verzenios-epar-product-information en.pdf

Treatment with abemaciclib should only be initiated and monitored by specialists in internal medicine, haematology, and encology, obstetrics and gynaecology, and specialists participating in the Oncology Agreement who are experienced in the treatment of patients with locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer.

5. Under "4. Treatment costs ", the findings on the annual treatment costs under "a1)" and "b1)" are adopted as follows

"The annual treatment costs shown refer to the first year of treatment.

a1) postmenopausal women with hormone receptor (HR)-positive, HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer who have not yet received initial endocrine therapy

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient					
Medicinal product to be assessed:						
Abemaciclib in combination with fulvestrant						
Abemaciclib	€ 23,637.40					
+ fulvestrant	€ 3,708.90					
Total	€ 27,346.30					
Appropriate comparator therapy:						
Non-steroidal aromatase inhibitors	SSICAL					
Anastrozole	€ 190.09 ES CULT					
letrozole	€ 190.09 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S					
Antiestrogens						
Fulvestrant	€3,708.90					
Tamoxifen	€ 12.20					
Ribociclib in combination with a non-stere	pidal aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole, letrozole)					
Ribociclib	€ 29,658.81					
Anastrozole	€ 190.09					
Letrozole	€ 176.44					
Ribociclib + anastrozole	€ 29,848.90					
Ribociclib + letrozole	€ 29,835.25					
Abemaciclib in combination with a non-state letrozole	eroidal aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole,					
Abemaciclib	€ 23,637.40					
Anastrozole	€ 190.09					
Letrozole	€ 176.44					
Abemaciclib + anastrozole	€ 23,827.49					
Abemaciclib + letrozole	€ 23,813.84					
Palbociclib in combination with a non-ster	roidal aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole, letrozole)					
Palbociclib	€ 30,196.27					
Anastrozole	€ 190.09					
Letrozole	€ 176.44					

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient
Palbociclib + anastrozole	€ 30,386.36
Palbociclib + letrozole	€ 30,372.71
Ribociclib in combination with fulvestrant	
Ribociclib	€ 29,658.81
+ fulvestrant	€ 3,994.20
Total	€ 33,653.01
Palbociclib in combination with fulvestrar	ot 110 Anil
Palbociclib	€ 4,113.06
+ fulvestrant	€ 3,994.20
Total	€ 34,190.47

Costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE® as last revised: 1 May 2022)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable

b1) postmenopausal women with hormone receptor (HR)-positive, HER2-negative locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer who have received prior endocrine therapy

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient						
Medicinal product to be assessed:							
Abemaciclib in combination with fulvestrant							
Abemaciclib	€ 23,637.40						
+ fulvestrant	€ 3,708.90						
Total	€ 27,346.30						
Appropriate comparator therapy:	propriate comparator therapy:						
Antiestrogens							
Fulvestrant	€ 3,708.90						
Tamoxife	€ 72.20						
Non-steroidal aromatase inhibitors	on-steroidal aromatase inhibitors						
Anastrozole	€ 190.09						
Letrozole	€ 176.44						
Steroidal aromatase inhibitors							
Exemestane	€ 425.37						
Everolimus in combination with exemesta	ne Fehler! Textmarke nicht definiert.						
Everolimus	€ 8,907.10						
+ exemestane	€ 425.37						

Designation of the therapy	Annual treatment costs/ patient					
Total	€ 9,332.47					
Ribociclib in combination with a non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole, letrozole)						
Ribociclib	€ 29,658.81					
Anastrozole	€ 190.09					
Letrozole	€ 176.44					
Ribociclib + anastrozole	€ 29,848.90 S. et					
Ribociclib + letrozole	€ 29,835.25					
Abemaciclib in combination with a non-st letrozole)	eroidal aromatase inhibitor (anastrozole,					
Abemaciclib	€ 23,637.40					
Anastrozole	€ 190.09					
Letrozole	€ 190.09 € 176.44 € 23,827.49 € 23,813.84					
Abemaciclib + anastrozole	€ 23,827.49					
Abemaciclib + letrozole	€ 23,813,84					
palbociclib in combination with a non-steroidal promatase inhibitor (anastrozole, letro						
Palbociclib	€30,196.27					
Anastrozole	€ 190:09					
Letrozole	£176.44					
Palbociclib + anastrozole	€ 30,386.36					
Palbociclib + letrozole	€ 30,372.71					
Ribociclib in combination with fulvestrant						
Ribociclib	€ 29,658.81					
+ fulvestrant	€ 3,994.20					
Total	€ 33,653.01					
Palbociclib in combination with fulvestrant						
Palbociclib	€ 4,113.06					
+ fulvestrant	€ 3,994.20					
Total	€ 34,190.47					

costs after deduction of statutory rebates (LAUER-TAXE® as last revised: 1 May 2022)

Costs for additionally required SHI services: not applicable".

II. The resolution will enter into force on the day of its publication on the website of the G-BA on 19 May 2022.

The justification to this resolution will be published on the website of the G-BA at www.g-

Please note the current version of the Pharmace line as the Carry of the Pharmace line as the Company of the Co

26